

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 7 are amended. Support for the subject matter added to claims 1 and 7 can be found in the written description at, for example, page 6, lines 4-12; page 9, line 29 to page 10, line 6; and page 11, lines 5-8.

The courtesies extended to Applicants' representative by Examiners Dung and Zhong at the interview held December 23, 2004, are appreciated. The reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action are incorporated into the remarks below and constitute the record of the interview.

In paragraph 3 on page 2, the Office Action requests that the status of all citations to U.S. patent applications in the specification be updated. The specification is amended to indicate the most recent status of all citations to U.S. patent applications.

In paragraph 4 on page 2, the Office Action requests that trademarks be capitalized and accompanied by generic terminology where used in the specification. The specification is amended in the manner requested by the Office Action.

In paragraphs 6-8 on pages 2-3, the Office Action rejects claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,629,135 to Ross, Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Ross"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, "synthesizing a display attribute . . . wherein the display attribute is selected from the list comprising font size, font color, degree of bolding, font type, font style, presence or absence of a border and border color." Similarly, claim 7 recites, "a display attribute synthesizer that synthesizes a display attribute . . . wherein the display attribute is

selected from the list comprising font size, font color, degree of bolding, font type, font style, presence or absence of a border and border color."

As discussed during the personal interview, Ross does not disclose the synthesis or synthesizing of a display attribute selected from the list recited in claims 1 and 7.

Claims 2-6 and 8-11 are allowable based at least on their dependence from claims 1 and 7 respectively, for the reasons stated above in connection with claims 1 and 7.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-11 as being anticipated by Ross be withdrawn.


In paragraphs 10-18, the Office Action rejects claims 2-6 and 8-11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0074368 to Schuetze (hereinafter "Schuetze"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 2-6 and 8-11 are allowable at least based on their dependence from claims 1 and 7 for the reasons stated above in connection with the rejection of claims 1 and 7. Schuetze fails to overcome the deficiencies in Ross. For at least these reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 2-6 and 8-11 as being unpatentable over Ross in view of Schuetze be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-11 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Mark R. Woodall  
Registration No. 43,286

JAO:MRW/sqb

Date: December 29, 2004

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 24-0037</p>
--